



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,433	07/23/2003	William Bruce Weiser	101950.00134	7935

7590 04/28/2006

Robert C. Klinger  
Jackson Walker LLP  
Suite 600  
2435 North Central Expressway  
Richardson, TX 75080

EXAMINER

LE, KAREN L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2614

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/625,433	Applicant(s) WEISER, WILLIAM BRUCE	
	Examiner Karen L. Le	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2006.  
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 6-13 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 6-13 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 6-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hahn (U.S. 5,659,236).

Regarding claim 1, Hahn shows an electronic device cradle organizer (Fig. 3, item 10), comprising:

a unitary base (Fig. 3, item 12) having a plurality of cradles (Fig. 1, item 14 and 16) configured to charge a plurality of portable electronic devices (Fig. 3, item 30 and 32);

a plate (Fig. 1, the top of casing 12) adapted to be secured to a top portion of the base and receive the plurality of portable electronic devices (Fig. 3, item 30 and 32); and wiring positioned within the base and coupled to the plurality of cradles (fig. 3 item 26, 22 and 20).

Regarding claim 6, the organizer of claim 1 further comprising at least one power supply housed within the base and coupled to the wiring (Fig. 1, item 18).

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 7, the organizer of claim 6 further comprising at least one power adapter adapted to couple to the at least one power supply, wherein the adapter exits the base (fig. 3, item 18, 40 and 42).

Regarding claims 9 and 10 the organizer of claim 1, wherein the plate and the base are adapted to be sculpted in a plurality of at least one of a following form from a group consisting of: shapes, lengths, widths, heights and thickness, in order to accommodate a plurality of different manufacturers electronic devices (Col. 1, lines 52-54).

Regarding claims 11-13 the organizer of claim 1, wherein the portable electronic device are a Personal Digital Assistant, mobile phone and a combination personal Digital Assistant and mobile phone (Fig. 3, item 30, device 30 is a mobile phone which is also generic for PDA).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hahn (U. S. 5,659,236) in view of Brake (U. S. 5,734,253).

Regarding claim 8, Hahn does not teach the organizer comprising at least one

Art Unit: 2614

data connection cable couple to at least one said cradle, wherein the cable extends from the base. However, Brake teaches the organizer comprising at least one data connection cable couple to at least one said cradle, wherein the cable extends from the base (Col. 6, lines 44-50 and Fig 4 where the cable can exit the base). Brake teaches a charging apparatus with multiple charge station is disclosed. Associated with each charging station is a wiring harness assembly which includes an EEPROM memory chip that comprises a serial data line. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Brake's feature into Hahn 's organizer in order to have at least one data connection cable couple to at least one cradle. This is old and well knows in telecommunication field.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 6-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen L. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karen Le  
KLL

April 26, 2006



**WING CHAN**  
**SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**